## KU LEUVEN

## Enumeration of large four-and-two-level designs

## Introduction

Four-level factors are useful:

- to study multi-level categorical factors
- to study non-linear effects of numerical factors

Current catalogs of four-and-two-level designs:

- Wu \& Zhang (1993; [1]): 16 and 32-run designs, 1 or 2 four-level factors, up to 11 two-level factors
- Ankenman (1999; [2]): 16 and 32-run designs, 1, 2 or 3 four-level factors, up to 14 two-level factors
Cheese-making experiment
Screening experiment in 128 runs. There are 10 potentially influential factors
- 9 two-level factors $\rightarrow 2^{9}$
- 1 four-level factor $\rightarrow 4^{1}$


No available catalog !

## Goal

Create a complete catalog of regular four-and-two-level designs with large run sizes

- Complete: all non-equivalent designs
- Large run sizes: for up to 256 runs

Methodology


Selected algorithms

- Extension procedures: Search Table (ST; [3]) , Delete-One-Factor Projection (DOP; [4]), Minimum Complete Set (MCS; [5])
- Reduction procedures: NAUTY graph isomorphism $[6,7]$, LMC canonical form testing [5]

|  | ST | DOP | MCS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAUTY | ST-NAUTY | DOP-NAUTY Not optimal |  |

Computing times for 32-run designs


## Method

- DOP
- MCS
= st
Results
Number of non-equivalent $4^{m} 2^{n}$ designs for $n \leq 20$ :

|  | $\mathbf{N}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{m}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 8,279 | 254 | $1,442,301$ | $>86,528$ |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 36,692 | 137 | $2,837,275$ | $>40,848$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | - | 28 | $2,141,911$ | $>78,386$ |

Cheese-making experiment revisited
There are $2644^{1} 2^{9}$ designs involving 128 runs

| ID | Added <br> columns | WLP <br> $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}, \mathrm{~A}_{5}, \mathrm{~A}_{6}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $60,77,86,103$ | $(0,8,6)$ |
| 2 | $29,46,90,101$ | $(0,9,3)$ |
| 3 | $13,58,91,116$ | $(1,6,6)$ |

- Designs 1 and 2 were not compatible with required restrictions on the randomization.
- Design 3 is the best design that is compatible with these restrictions.
- Remaining designs have inferior WLP.
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